

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 QUITO 04103 220202Z  
ACTION ARA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SS-15 SSO-00 DHA-02 SP-02 ACDA-07  
PM-04 NSC-05 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 DODE-00  
NSCE-00 INRE-00 L-03 /060 W  
-----035439 220226Z /66

O R 220105 ZU JUN 77  
FM AMEMBASSY QUITO  
TO SECSTATE WASDHC NIACH IMMEDIATE 4012  
INFO AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

C O N F I D E N T I A L QUITO 4103

DEPARTMENT PASS USDEL USOASGA GRENADA

E.O. 11652: XGDS  
TAGS: PORG, OAS. EC, CI, SHUM  
SUBJECT: OASGA HUMAN RIGHTS RESOLUTION

REF: QUITO 4080

1. FOREIGN MINISTER AYALA CALLED ME THIS AFTERNOON IN RESPONSE TO YESTERDAY'S DEMARCHE ON HUMAN RIGHTS RESOLUTION (REFTEL). AYALA SAID THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THAT FIRST COMMITTEE HAD APPOINTED A WORKING GROUP ON DRAFT RESOLUTION. ALTHOUGH ECUADOR WAS NOT A MEMBER OF THE WORKING GROUP, HE HAD GIVEN INSTRUCTIONS TO AMB. LOERO TO FOLLOW THE WORK CLOSELY. AYALA INDICATED THAT LEORO HAD ALREADY EXPRESSED GOE POINT OF VIEW ABOUT ITS BASIC PRINCIPLES REGARDING THE DRAFT. AYALA STATED THAT ECUADOR SOUGHT AN ELECTRIC APPROACH IN THE DRAFT WHICH WOULD AVOID A SPLIT BEWTWEEN THE SOUTHERN CONE AND US GROUP. HE OBSERVED THAT THE US SPONSORED DRAFT AS IT STANDS NOW SEEMS ACCEPTABLE EXCEPT FOR PARAGRAPH C REGARDING VISITS. HE SUGGESTED THAT THE LANGUAGE MIGHT HAVE TO BE TONED DOWN TO OBTAIN EUCADOREAN BACKING. ON THE OTHER HAND , ECUADOR HAD NO WISH TO UNDERMINE THE IAHC, AND INDEED WISHED TO STRENGTHEN IT.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 QUITO 04103 220202Z

2. FOLLOWING MY DEMARCHE YESTERDAY, POLCOUNSELOR AND NAVATT MADE PARALLEL APPROACH ON ADMIRAL RENAN OLMEDO, CHIEF OF ARMED FORCES JOINT COMMAND, WHO HAS TAKEN A LIVELY INTEREST IN GOE'S MULTILATERAL DIPLOMACY, ESPECIALLY WHERE IT MIGHT AFFECT ECUADORS SECURITY

INTEREST. DURING HIS PRESENTATION, POLCOUNSELOR POINTED OUT, INTER ALIA, THAT OASGA HUMAN RIGHTS RESOLUTION WAS EMERGING JUST WHEN SPECIFIC GOE SECURITY ASSISTANCE REQUESTS WERE BEING CONSIDERED FOLLOWING THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF OUR NEW ARMS TRANSFER POLICY. ALTHOUGH ECUADORS PERFORMANCE IN INTERNATIONAL FORA WAS ONLY ONE OF THE FACETS OF OUR RELATIONSHIP, POLCOUNSELOR NOTED THAT HE KNEW FROM PAST CONVERSATIONS WITH OLMEDO THAT HE WAS AWARE OF ITS CONTRIBUTION TO A FAVORABLE BILATERAL ATMOSPHERE. OLMEDO ACKNOWLEDGED THIS. OLMEDO REPLIED THAT ECUADOR WISHED TO GIVE THE US ITS FULL SUPPORT IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS FIELD BECAUSE IT BELIEVED THAT THE US APPROACH WAS FUNDAMENTALLY SOUND. AT THE SAME TIME, HE SAID, ECUADOR HAD TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE SENSITIVITIES OF THE SOUTHERN CONE COUNTRIES, ESPECIALLY CHILE, WHICH WERE VITAL TO HIS COUNTRY'S SECURITY IN FACE OF THE PERUVIAN ARMS BUILD-UP. NONETHELESS, HE SAID, ECUADOR WOULD HAVE HAD NO DIFFICULTY IN PUTTING THESE CONSIDERATIONS ASIDE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES EXCEPT FOR

ONE PRESSING PROBLEM: THERE WOULD BE A "HIGH LEVEL" VISIT TO CHILE (HE HINTED THAT SUPREME COUNCIL PRESIDENT POVEDA HIMSELF WOULD BE THE VISITOR) AND ECUADOR WANTED TO DO NOTHING WHICH MIGHT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE SUCCESS OF THAT VISIT.

3. POLCOUNSELOR ASSURED OLMEDO THAT US HAD NO WISH TO CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 QUITO 04103 220202Z

SINGLE OUT CHILE OR ANY OTHER COUNTRY FOR CONDEMNATION IN THE RESOLUTION. OUR BASIC GOAL WAS TO STRENGTHEN THE IAHR. OLMEDO REPEATED THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT THE US WITHOUT HARMING THE ECUADOR-CHILE BILATERAL RELATIONSHIP ON THE EVE OF THE TRIP. AT THAT POINT, OLMEDO TELEPHONED POVEDA (WHO WAS ILL IN BED) AND ASKED TO SEE HIM IN 15 MINUTES CONCERNING THE PROBLEM. INSTEAD, POVEDA REQUESTED HIM TO SEE HIM EARLY THE NEXT MORNING.

4. COMMENT: ECUADOR SEEMS TO BE FACED WITH A DILEMMA IN BALANCING OUT ITS PRINCIPLES, ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH THE US AND CHILE. AS AYALAS UNCERTAIN REPLY INDICATES, GOE, AFTER WRESTLING WITH PROBLEM ALL DAY AND TALKING TO GRENADA, HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO RESOLVE THE PROBLEM. HOWEVER, AS AYALA ALSO INDICATED, ECUADOR, WHILE NOT WILLING TO SUPPORT ALL OF CURRENT WORKING DRAFT, SEEMS TO BE LEANING STRONGLY TOWARD A POSITION WHICH WOULD ENDORSE A FORTIFIED IAHR.

5. PLEASE PROTECT FACT THAT WE MADE A BACK-DOOR APPROACH TO MILITARY ON THIS PROBLEM.

BLOOMFIELD

NOTE BY OC/T: NOT PASSED.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DEMARCHE, HUMAN RIGHTS, RESOLUTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 22-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977QUITO04103  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** DG ALTERED PER QUITO 4321  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770222-0271  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** QUITO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t197706107/aaaafxg.tcl  
**Line Count:** 123  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON MICROFILM, TEXT ON-LINE  
**Message ID:** 820c9e73-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION ARA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 77 QUITO 4080  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 29-Dec-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2008387  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 970909  
**Subject:** OASGA HUMAN RIGHTS RESOLUTION  
**TAGS:** PORG, SHUM, CI, EC, US, IAHRC, OAS, PORG, SHUM  
**To:** SANTIAGO STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/820c9e73-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009